

# EARLY MORELS AND LITTLE FRIARS, or a Short Essay on the Edibility of Verpa Bohemica

Paolo Davoli a,\* and Nicola Sitta b <sup>a</sup> via Pellegrini 2/18, 41058 Vignola (MO), Italy; e-mail: paolo-davoli@libero.it <sup>b</sup> Loc. Farné 32, 40042 Lizzano in Belvedere (BO), Italy; e-mail: nicolasitta@libero.it

#### **Abstract**

The spring ascomycete *Verpa bohemica* — the "early morel" — has a long tradition of consumption in Emilia-Romagna (Northern Italy), where it is regularly collected, safely eaten and officially traded, as well as true morels (Morchella spp.). Unfortunately, V. bohemica is still listed among suspect or toxic mushrooms in most of North American and extra-European texts, despite the lack of analytical confirmation for the occurrence of toxins such as gyromitrin and coprine, which V. bohemica has been allegedly blamed for in the past, and in the absence of sound toxicological evidence as well. In fact, poisonings occasionally reported in the old literature after consumption of *V. bohemica* might have well been misunderstood with the so-called neurological syndrome associated with ingestion of *Morchella* spp. which has been formally described only in recent years. Mushroom collectors should therefore be reassured that V. bohemica and true morels share the very same edibility status.

#### Riassunto

L'ascomicete primaverile Verpa bohemica ha una lunga tradizione di utilizzo a scopo alimentare in Emilia-Romagna, dove viene regolarmente raccolto, consumato e commercializzato, alla stregua delle spugnole propriamente dette (Morchella spp.). Purtroppo V. bohemica continua ad essere elencata tra le specie sospette o tossiche nella maggior parte dei testi nordamericani ed extraeuropei, nonostante la mancanza di conferme analitiche della presunta presenza di tossine quali la giromitrina e la coprina per cui *V. bohemica* è stata accusata in passato, e anche in assenza di chiari indizi tossicologici. Infatti, i casi di intossicazione riportati in passato in letteratura a seguito del consumo di *V. bohemica* devono essere piuttosto ricondotti ad un'errata interpretazione della cosiddetta sindrome neurologica associata al consumo di funghi del genere Morchella che è stata correttamente caratterizzata solo in anni recenti. È pertanto necessario rassicurare i raccoglitori circa lo status di commestibilità di V. bohemica, che è da considerare assolutamente identico a quello delle vere spugnole.

ushrooms have featured an iconic role in Italian food tradition since time immemorial. There is plenty of historical evidence documenting the usage of mushrooms for food purposes by ancient Romans, who were particularly fond of boleti (Amanita caesarea) but did collect and also trade *suilli*, i.e. boletes (*Boletus* edulis and allied species) (Buller, 1914; Jaeger, 2011). Such a tradition of consumption has survived and further developed along the centuries in Italy, and the range of mushroom species that are used for food has meanwhile broadened. In fact, despite the fact that boletes (porcini in Italian) today represent the mushrooms par excellence in Italy and still account for most of the market share in mushroom trade (Sitta and Floriani, 2008), many edible fungal species other than *porcini* are widely collected and traded nationwide or on regional scale.

Such is the case of true morels (Morchella spp.), which are most prized and actively sought after in the whole of Italy under the trivial name spugnole (= little sponges). Morel hunting and consumption in Italy does not reach the popularity as in the US or in France - Italian mushroom hunters and gourmands have a passion for *porcini* above all! Nonetheless it is tempting to speculate that the springtime appearance of potentially tasty food items such as morels, which could be used as accompaniments to otherwise plain cereal-based staple foods, must have represented a major drive for collection in ancient times. In this respect, however, it is not clear when consumption of morels first appeared in Italy. Some claimed that Pliny the Elder might have made an explicit reference to them in his Naturalis Historia (Maggiulli, 1977), but such a speculation is somewhat questionable in our opinion. On the contrary, it is most likely that the first ever documentary evidence clearly describing the usage of morels for food purposes in Italy can be traced back to the Late Middle Ages.

In addition to true morels, in Emilia-Romagna (Northern Italy) also Verpa bohemica (Krombh.) J. Schröt. (Figs. 1–3) has a long tradition of consumption and it is even traded officially on a local scale (Figs. 4–5). In the province of Modena V. bohemica fruits from March

to early May, sometimes abundantly, whereas true morels appear two or three weeks later, under conditions of same elevation and slope orientation; V. bohemica grows under several species of broadleaved trees, in particular elms (Ulmus spp.), poplars (Populus spp.) and willows (Salix spp.), often along rivers and streams, and often hidden in dense thickets of bramble and wild roses (Rubus spp. and Rosa

spp., respectively) in the understory. In moisture-rich locations it can also grow abundantly in degraded chestnut or beech woods, especially at the edge, or close to ruins of buildings and stone walls. Its distribution range spans from the foothills of Lower Appennines (and adjacent areas bordering the river Po plain) to the Upper Appennines, up to elevations of *ca.* 1500 m above sea level.

Known as the "early morel" in English-



Figure 2. Verpa bohemica from Northern Lower Appennines (Vignola (MO), Italy). Photo by Paolo Davoli.

speaking countries, in the province of Modena V. bohemica is usually referred to as fratèin in the local dialect (in Italian it translates as fratino, i.e. little friar), whilst true morels are collectively called sfuracèli instead (Bellei, 1999); sometimes the name *frê* (in Italian *frate* = friar) is also used for *V. bohemica*. By close analogy, the trivial names *fratèin/frê* are often applied locally also to Mitrophora semilibera (DC.) Lév. (syn. Morchella semilibera DC.). (Bellei and Benatti Spennato, 1994). It is worthy to note – at least from an ethnomycological viewpoint – that Helvella crispa (Scop.) Fr. is called in the local dialect *surèina* and *pritèin* (corresponding to Italian suorina and pretino) (San Donnini, 1899), i.e. little nun and little priest, respectively.

In the US *Verpa bohemica* is usually regarded as suspect or toxic by many field guides; even when it is reported as edible, it is generally not recommended for eating and caution is always given that it may cause gastrointestinal discomfort in some people. According to Beug et al. (2014), V. bohemica is "edible for some but poisonous to many others, causing variable reactions, including severe gastrointestinal upset and temporary loss of coordination." Similar information about the toxicity of early morels can be found on mushroom books aimed at a worldwide audience (e.g. Hall et al., 2003; Roberts and Evans, 2011) and also in some (albeit few!) Italian and European field guides, even though most of them always list *V. bohemica* as edible, and rightly so. In the FAO's compendium of wild edible mushrooms, V. bohemica is included in the global list of wild fungi used as food, and it is also listed between the "economically important wild fungi" (Boa, 2004). Also to the best of our knowledge *V. bohemica* can be safely eaten and it should therefore be included among edible fungal species. Accordingly, in Emilia-Romagna it is officially included at a regional level in the positive list of edible mushrooms allowed for trade (Italy, 1995; Emilia-Romagna, 1997). Clearly, it is one of those cases of contrasting evaluation of edibility that are often found in the (ethno)mycological literature (see Sitta and Davoli, 2012).

At least as far as the North American mycological literature is concerned, we believe that such a confusion about the edibility of *V. bohemica* stems

from Lincoff and Mitchel's *Toxic and Hallucinogenic Mushroom Poisoning* (1977), an authoritative source of mycotoxicological information that still represents a leading reference in the field and which has been frequently quoted by mycologists in subsequent decades. In fact, Lincoff and Mitchel, quoting earlier and scattered cases of poisonings caused by ingestion of early morels, wrote: "...it is possible that Verpa bohemica, or certain strains or fruiting of it, contain or can synthesize low levels of gyromitrin." (Lincoff and Mitchel, 1977). To the best of our knowledge,

however, the presence of gyromitrin in *V. bohemica* has never been demonstrated experimentally. Despite thorough bibliographical searches, we could not find a single reference that confirms unambiguously the detection of gyromitrin and analogues thereof in *V. bohemica*, neither before nor after the publication of Lincoff and Mitchel's book. Nonetheless their view has certainly consolidated over the years and must have remained widely accepted in the US and elsewhere. We wonder about the official status of *V. bohemica* in the US. For instance, is it allowed for



Figure 3. *Verpa bohemica* from Northern Lower Appennines (Vignola (MO), Italy). Photo by Paolo Davoli.

trade? As far as we know, according to the FDA, *V. bohemica* is lumped with Gyromitra spp. and Helvella spp., and all of them are considered toxic (FDA, 1984; Gecan and Cichowicz, 1993). We have found official reports from the FDA whereby detection of *V. bohemica* in consignments of dried and canned morels for US import resulted in noncompliance of the shipment and failure to obtain custom clearance (see for example FDA Import Alert 25-02, 2011). Caution is certainly required when dealing with *Gyromitra*, but officially listing Verpa bohemica as toxic in the absence of any sound experimental evidence looks a bit too precautionary...

Today it is acknowledged that almost all edible wild mushroom species can cause poisonings with gastrointestinal symptoms for reasons such as incomplete cooking, ingestion of old specimens or overindulgence in consumption itself. Although this may have well occurred also with *V. bohemica*, we surmise that the gastrointestinal and neurological symptoms reported after eating early morels might have always been misunderstood with the so-called "cerebellar syndrome" associated with the consumption of conspicuous quantities of Morchella spp., which has been clearly documented only in recent years (Pfab et al., 2008; Berndt, 2010; Saviuc et al., 2010). In fact, the presence of neurological symptoms in the cerebellar syndrome match perfectly with the descriptions of poisonings by *V. bohemica* reported in earlier literature, whereby uncoordination or lack of muscular coordination was observed.

The alleged occurrence of coprine in V. bohemica has been also invoked to explain poisonings that were reported after consumption of early morels in conjunction with alcoholic beverages. Despite the absence of any experimental confirmation from an analytical viewpoint, we find it most surprising that such a speculation has crept up in the (myco)toxicological literature,

so that *V. bohemica* may still be found listed among coprine-containing toxic mushrooms responsible of disulfiramlike syndrome (Graeme, 2014). As for gyromitrin, the postulated occurrence of coprine in sporophores of *V*. bohemica has never been demonstrated so far (see also Michelot, 1992) and it must likewise be accounted as an unsubstantiated piece of information that still awaits chemical confirmation, if any. In our own experience, in Emilia-Romagna the consumption of *V. bohemica* is usually accompanied by a glass of good wine, and no cases of poisoning with coprine-like syndrome have ever been reported.



Figure 5. Close-up of a basketful of Verpa bohemica ready to be offered for sale. Photo by Paolo Davoli.

In conclusion, therefore, we can safely ensure that the edibility status of *V. bohemica* and true morels (Morchella spp.) is identical. Both of them are definitely edible, provided that they are thoroughly cooked, and they represent undoubtedly an economically important food item in several countries in the world.

### References cited

Bellei, S. 1999. A m'arcòrd -Dizionario enciclopedico del dialetto modenese. Finale Emilia (MO, Italy): Edizioni CDL 948 pp. (2 vols.) Bellei, S., and L. Benatti Spennato. 1994. Alla ricerca dei sapori perduti - 272 ricette di piatti dell'Appennino modenese. Modena (Italy): Edizioni Il Fiorino 147 pp.

Berndt, S. 2010. Neurologisches Syndrom nach Morchelgenuss. Zeitschrift für Mykologie – DGfM Mitteilungen 76(1): 7-12.

Beug, M., A.E. Bessette, and A.R. Bessette. 2014. Ascomycete Fungi of North America: A Mushroom

> Reference Guide. Austin: University of Texas Press 502 pp.

Boa, E. 2004. Wild edible fungi. A global overview of their use and importance to people. Non-Wood Forest Products 17. Rome: FAO 147 pp. Also available at www.fao.org/docrep/007/ y5489e/y5489e00.html (accessed on 27 November

Buller, A.H.R. 1914. The fungus lore of Greeks and Romans. Transactions of the British Mycological Society 5: 21-66.

Emilia-Romagna. 1997. Deliberazione Giunta Regionale 9/12/1997, n. 2297.

FDA. 1984. Macroanalytical Procedures Manual. FDA Technical Bulletin Number 5 (electronic version 1998), see under *V-11 Vegetables* and vegetable products, sub-heading *F. Method* for the Preservation and Identification of Mushrooms (V-102). Available at http://www.fda.

gov/Food/ FoodScience ResearchLaboratoryMethods /ucm105731. htm(accessed on 27 November 2014)

FDA. 2011. Import Alert 25-02 of 18 March 2011 "Detention without physical examination of morel mushrooms due to adulteration and substitution" Available at http:// www.accessdata.fda.gov/cms\_ia/



Figure 4. Verpa bohemica on sale at a market stall. Photo by Luigi Paganini.



FUNGI (ISSN 1941-4943) is published five times per year (four seasonal issues plus a special issue) by FUNGI, P.O. Box 8, 1925 Hwy. 175, Richfield, Wisconsin 53076-0008, USA. Subscriptions are \$40 per year for USA residents; \$42 for residents of Canada and Mexico; \$70 for all others. Checks should be made out to FUNGI. For credit card orders please see our Web site: www.fungimag.com

PUBLISHER'S NOTES: Although many wild mushrooms are quite palatable, some are deadly poisonous. It is advisable to avoid eating any wild organisms, including fungi, unless absolutely certain of identification. And although some mushroom species are edible for many people, those same species may cause allergic reactions or illness in others. When in doubt, throw it out. FUNGI wants to ensure that all readers are long-term subscribers. It is a good idea to have any wild mushroom checked by an expert before eating them. It should be understood that the Publisher and all Editors are not responsible for any consequences of ingesting wild mushrooms. Furthermore, the Publisher and all Editors are not engaged, herein, in the rendering of any medical advice or services. All readers should verify all information and data before administering any drug, therapy, or treatment discussed herein. Neither the Editors nor the Publisher accepts any responsibility for the accuracy of the information or consequences from the use or misuse of the information contained herein. Unauthorized reproduction of published content of FUNGI is strictly forbidden, and permission for reproduction must be obtained by application in writing to the Publisher.

COPYRIGHT @2015 by FUNGI. All rights reserved.

Printed in the USA.



November 2014)

Gecan, J.S., and S.M. Cichowicz. 1993. Toxic mushroom contamination of wild mushrooms in commercial distribution. Journal of Food Protection 56(8): 730-734.

Graeme, K.A. 2014. Mycetism: a review of the recent literature. Journal of Medical Toxicology 10: 173-189.

Hall, I.R., S.L. Stephenson, P.K. Buchanan, Y. Wang, and A.L.J. Cole. 2003. Edible and Poisonous Mushrooms of the World. Portland, Oregon: Timber Press 372 pp.

Italy. 1995. D.P.R. 14/07/1995, n° 376: Regolamento concernente la disciplina della raccolta e della commercializzazione dei funghi epigei freschi e conservati. Allegato I.

Jaeger, M. 2011. Blame the boletus? Demistifying mushrooms in Latin literature. Ramus 40(1): 15-32.

Lincoff, G., and D.H. Mitchel. 1977. Toxic and Hallucinogenic Mushroom Poisoning: A Handbook for Physicians

Van Nostrand Reinhold 267 pp. Maggiulli, G. 1977.

Nomenclatura micologica latina. Genoa (Italy): Università di Genova, Facoltà di Lettere, Pubblicazioni dell'Istituto di Filologia Classica e Medievale (vol. 52) 168 pp.

Michelot, D. 1992. Poisoning by Coprinus atramentarius. Natural Toxins 1:73-80. Pfab, R., B. Haberl, J. Kleber, and T. Zilker. 2008. Cerebellar effects after consumption of edible morels (Morchella conica, Morchella esculenta). Clinical Toxicology 46(3): 259-260.

Roberts, P., and S. Evans. 2011. The Book of Fungi: A Life-Size Guide to 600 Species from Around the World. Chicago: University of Chicago Press 656 pp.

San Donnini, C. 1899 (published 1900). Elenco dei funghi commestibili posti in vendita nella pubblica piazza di Modena. Atti della Società dei Naturalisti e Matematici di Modena - Serie IV 32(1): 39-40.

Garnier, and A. Cochet. 2010. Can morels (Morchella sp.) induce a toxic neurological syndrome? Clinical Toxicology 48: 365-372.

Sitta, N., and P. Davoli. 2012. Edible ectomycorrhizal mushrooms: international markets and regulations. In: A. Zambonelli and G.M. Bonito, Eds., Edible Ectomycorrhizal Mushrooms – Current Knowledge and Future Prospects (Soil Biology, vol. 34), pp. 355-380. Berlin - Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.

Sitta, N., and M. Floriani. 2008. Nationalization and globalization trends in the wild mushroom commerce of Italy with emphasis on porcini (Boletus edulis and allied species). Economic Botany 62(3): 307-322.

## **Acknowledgement**

We thank Sarah Vecchio for bibliographical assistance. T